

Technical Report no. 7  
Theory of Condensed Matter Group

A COMPUTER PROGRAM FOR NUMERICAL  
SOLUTION OF THE ELIASHBERG EQUATIONS TO FIND  $T_c$

TCM/4/1974

by

Philip B. Allen\*

Contents

I	Formulation	p.2
II	Description of the Programs	p.4
III	References	p.7
IV	Sample Calling Program, Data and Output	p.8
V	Listing of Subroutines	
	SOLVE	p.10
	F(X)	p.12
	AINVIT	p.13
	CHOLDE	p.15
	CHOLSU	p.16
VI	Description of Matrix Manipulation Programs	p.17

\* Alfred P. Sloan Fellow. Permanent address: Dept. of Physics, SUNY, Stony Brook, New York 11790. Work supported in part by U.S. National Science Foundation grant no. GH037925

## I FORMULATION

The superconducting transition temperature ( $T_c$ ) can be theoretically obtained from the Eliashberg equations<sup>1</sup> if the interaction parameters  $\alpha^2 F(\omega)$  and  $\mu^*$  (characterizing electron-phonon and Coulomb interactions respectively) are known. A simplified version of these equations has been presented by Allen and Dynes<sup>2</sup> based on the work of Bergmann and Rainer<sup>3</sup>. The equations are

$$\rho(T)\psi_m = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} K_{mn}(T)\psi_n \quad (1)$$

$$K_{mn} = \lambda^*(m-n) + \lambda^*(m+n+1) - 2\mu^* - \delta_{mn} \left[ 2m+1 + \lambda^*(0) + 2 \sum_{\ell=1}^m \lambda^*(\ell) \right] \quad (2)$$

$$\lambda^*(\ell) = 2 \int d\omega \omega \alpha^2 F(\omega) / [\omega^2 + (2\pi\ell T)^2] \quad (3)$$

where the eigenvectors  $\psi$  are related to the gap parameter  $\Delta(i\omega_m) \equiv \Delta_m$ . The value of  $T_c$  is defined as that temperature where the maximum eigenvalue  $\rho(T)$  becomes zero. In searching for the maximum eigenvalue of a matrix  $K_{\infty}$  of infinite dimension, it is useful to note that the sequence of maximum eigenvalues  $\rho_N$  of the principal minors  $K_{\infty N}$  (of order  $N$  by  $N$ ) form a series of monotonically increasing bounds to  $\rho$ . The procedure used for solving eq (1) for  $T_c$  is to solve successively the problems truncated at  $N = 1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 32,$  and  $64$ . We find that the solution of order  $64 \times 64$  has accurately converged for materials with  $T_c / \sqrt{\langle \omega^2 \rangle} > 0.01$  or  $\lambda > 0.5$ , where  $\lambda$  is the electron-phonon coupling strength

$$\lambda = 2 \int d\omega \alpha^2 F(\omega) / \omega = \lambda^*(0) \quad (4).$$

In practice it is not easy to calculate  $T_c$  directly from  $\alpha^2 F$  and  $\mu^*$ . It is much more convenient to assume a value for  $T_c$  and vary the amplitude of  $\alpha^2 F$  (i.e. vary  $\lambda$  keeping the shape of  $\alpha^2 F$  fixed.) This way a curve of  $T_c$  as a function of  $\lambda$  (for fixed  $\mu^*$ ) can be generated, and the value of  $T_c$  can be graphically interpolated from the known value of  $\lambda$ . The phonon coupling enters the kernel (eq.2) only through the function  $\lambda^*$  (eq.3). We define a function  $f(x)$  which is a normalized version of  $\lambda^*$

$$\lambda^*(\ell) = \lambda f(2\pi\ell T / \sqrt{\langle \omega^2 \rangle}) \quad (5)$$

$$f(x) = (2/\ell) \int_0^\infty d\omega \omega \alpha^2 F(\omega) / [\omega^2 + \langle \omega^2 \rangle x^2] \quad (6)$$

$$\langle \omega^n \rangle = (2/\lambda) \int_0^\infty d\omega \omega^{n-1} \alpha^2 F(\omega) \quad (7)$$

where  $\sqrt{\langle \omega^2 \rangle}$  is a convenient rms phonon frequency. The function  $f(x)$  takes the value 1 at  $x = 0$  and goes asymptotically to  $1/x^2$  at large  $x$ . For intermediate values of  $x$ ,  $f$  is a monotonically decreasing function whose precise shape contains the information about the shape of  $\alpha^2 F$  which is relevant to  $T_c$ . We next split the kernel  $K_{\mu\nu}$  into two parts,  $A_{\mu\nu} + \lambda B_{\mu\nu}$ , where  $A_{\mu\nu}$  and  $B_{\mu\nu}$  are both independent of  $\lambda$ .

$$A_{mn} = -2\mu^* - (2m+1)\delta_{mn} \quad (8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} B_{mn} = & f(2\pi(m-n)T_c / \sqrt{\langle \omega^2 \rangle}) \\ & + f(2\pi(m+n+1)T_c / \sqrt{\langle \omega^2 \rangle}) \\ & - \delta_{mn} \left[ 1 + 2 \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} f(2\pi\ell T_c / \sqrt{\langle \omega^2 \rangle}) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

The procedure now becomes to assume a value for  $T_c / \sqrt{\langle \omega^2 \rangle}$ , construct the principle minors  $A_N$  and  $B_N$ , and finally to solve for the coupling strength  $\lambda_N$  in  $N^{\text{th}}$  order using

$$\left( \frac{A_N}{\lambda_N} + \lambda_N \frac{B_N}{\lambda_N} \right) \psi_N = 0 \quad (10)$$

#### IV DESCRIPTION OF THE PROGRAMS

The actual setting up and solving of eq.(10) is done by a subroutine SOLVE (TC, EL, CC, NALF), where TC is the assumed value of  $T_c / \sqrt{\langle \omega^2 \rangle}$  and EL is an array of dimension 7 which returns seven successive approximations to  $\lambda$  obtained by solving  $K_{\lambda N}$  with dimension  $N = 2^0, 2^1, \dots, 2^6 = 64$ . The parameter CC is the given value of  $\mu^*$ , which we define by

$$\mu^* = \mu / \left[ 1 + \mu \ln(\omega_p / \sqrt{\langle \omega^2 \rangle}) \right] \quad (11)$$

There is some confusion about  $\mu^*$  in the literature. The purpose of using  $\mu^*$  instead of  $\mu$  is to make the effective Coulomb cutoff equal to the phonon cutoff. The Coulomb cutoff is approximately the electron plasma frequency  $\omega_p$ , while the phonon cutoff has been taken to mean two distinct things: either a frequency of order the maximum phonon frequency or the actual maximum frequency at which integration is cut off in a computer program. The second meaning is more natural in many ways but suffers from the disadvantage of arbitrariness. Therefore we have opted for the former meaning. Fortunately  $\mu^*$  is not sensitive to small variations (such as replacing  $\omega_p$  by  $E_F$  or  $\sqrt{\langle \omega^2 \rangle}$  by  $\theta_D$ .) However, some authors, notably

McMillan<sup>4</sup>, have used instead of  $\sqrt{\langle\omega^2\rangle}$ , a cutoff about 10 times larger. This is enough to affect  $\mu^*$  by 30%. In the actual computations described here, an effective  $\mu^*(N)$  is used which depends on the truncation point  $\omega_N = 2\pi N T_c$ , namely

$$\mu^*(N) = \mu^* / \left[ 1 + \mu^* \ln(\sqrt{\langle\omega^2\rangle} / \omega_N) \right] \quad (12)$$

This procedure was found to be advantageous to obtain rapid convergence and eliminate small fluctuations in  $T_c(\lambda)$  which tend to occur if a fixed  $\mu^*$  is used and truncated at some value of  $\omega_n$ .

The parameter NALF should be read in as 1 if the data ( $\alpha^2 F$ ) is new and as some other integer if previously read data is to be re-used.

The subroutine SOLVE obtains the function  $f(x)$  through a function subprogram called F(X). This program has three different exit points. If the argument X is negative, the subprogram F does not actually calculate  $f(x)$  but instead performs the preliminary operations. These consist of reading data and calculating various parameters which are immediately printed out. These are summarized below.

Data: TITLE, NAF, DOM (FORMAT 3A4, I5, F5.2)

AF(I), I = 1, NAF (FORMAT 10E8.3)

TITLE = name of material such as LEAD

NAF = number of data points for  $\alpha^2 F$

DOM = increment  $\Delta\omega$  of data points in meV.

AF =  $\alpha^2 F$

Print-out:      TITLE  
                   ELA =  $\lambda$   
                   OM1 =  $\langle \omega \rangle$  in meV  
                   OM2 =  $\langle \omega^2 \rangle$  in meV<sup>2</sup>  
                   OM4 =  $\langle \omega^4 \rangle$  in meV<sup>4</sup>

When the argument X is positive, the subprogram F calculates f(x) either by Simpson's rule integration (when  $x \leq 5$ .) or by the first two terms of a large x expansion (when  $x > 5$ .), namely

$$f(x) \approx (1 - \langle \omega^4 \rangle / \langle \omega^2 \rangle^2 x^2) / x^2 \quad (13)$$

The final step is to solve eq.(10). For this purpose a general program was used called AINVIT, which was written by C.M.M. Nex. This program solves for  $\lambda$  very efficiently using inverse iteration. At each stage (except the first one,  $N = 1$ ) the previous value  $\lambda_{N-1}$  and eigenvector  $\psi_{N-1}$  are used as the initial trial values for the  $N^{\text{th}}$  stage. The actual solution is performed by a subroutine named CHOLSU, after Cholski decomposition has been performed by a subroutine named CHOLDE. Both of these programs were also written by C.M.M. Nex. A description and listing of the programs AINVIT, CHOLDE, and CHOLSU is attached.

The package described here is completely self-contained. The user needs to provide only a brief calling program and data. A sample calling program, data, and output are given in the next section. The data shown are  $\alpha^2 F(\omega)$  for lead as measured by Rowell and McMillan<sup>5</sup>. Several choices of  $\mu^*$  and  $T_c / \sqrt{\langle \omega^2 \rangle}$  have been made which illustrate the convergence, which is very rapid for  $\mu^* = 0$  and  $T_c / \sqrt{\langle \omega^2 \rangle} = 0.1$ . The convergence is only slightly less rapid when  $\mu^* = 0.1$ . Convergence is slower for small  $T_c$ . When

$\mu^* = 0.1$  at  $T_c/\sqrt{\langle\omega^2\rangle} = 0.01$ , the approximate limit of this program has still not been reached, but convergence will cease being adequate for somewhat smaller values of  $T_c$ . To handle smaller  $T_c$ , the matrix would have to be enlarged beyond  $64 \times 64$ , and perhaps a coarser mesh than the exact Matsubara points  $\omega_n$  could be used. There is no limit on the maximum permissible value of  $T_c/\sqrt{\langle\omega^2\rangle}$  which this program can handle. The output lists  $T_c/\langle\omega\rangle$  as well as  $T_c/\sqrt{\langle\omega^2\rangle}$ , and gives not only the actual  $\mu^*$  (eq.11) but also the running values of  $\mu^*(N)$  (eq.12). The computing time on an IBM 370 machine for finding seven successive approximations to  $\lambda$  for a given  $T_c$  and  $\mu^*$  is less than 1 sec.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS: The help of C.M.M. Nex has been invaluable in constructing these programs. Permission to use his programs AINVIT, CHOLDE, and CHOLSU is gratefully acknowledged.

### III REFERENCES

1. G.M. Eliashberg, JETP 11, 696 (1960); 12, 1000 (1960).  
Also see for example, J.R. Schrieffer, Superconductivity (W.A. Benjamin, New York, 1964) and D.J. Scalapino, in Superconductivity, edited by R.D. Parks (M. Dekker, New York, 1969).
2. P.B. Allen and R.C. Dynes, to be published.
3. G. Bergmann and D. Rainer, Z. Phys. 263, 59 (1973).
4. W.L. McMillan, Phys. Rev. 167, 331 (1968);  
W.L. McMillan and J.M. Rowell, in Superconductivity, edited by R.D. Parks (M. Dekker, New York, 1969).
5. J.M. Rowell and W.L. McMillan, Phys. Rev. Letters 14, 108 (1965).

## IV SAMPLE CALLING PROGRAM, DATA, AND OUTPUT

## Calling Program

```

0001      COMMON OM1,OM2,OM4
0002      DIMENSION EL(7)
0003      TC=-.08
0004      DO 20 I=1,2
0005      TC=TC+.09
0006      CC=-.10
0007      DO 20 J=1,2
0008      CC=CC+.1
0009      NALF=1+J-1
0010      CALL SOLVE(TC,EL,CC,NALF)
0011      20 CONTINUE
0012      STOP
0013      END

```

## Data

LEAD	111	.10								
.000E-0	.571E-4	.228E-3	.514E-3	.913E-3	.143E-2	.205E-2	.331E-2	.607E-2	.765E-2	
.101E-1	.112E-1	.137E-1	.148E-1	.177E-1	.196E-1	.243E-1	.297E-1	.389E-1	.481E-1	
.599E-1	.694E-1	.799E-1	.884E-1	.984E-1	.107E-0	.120E-0	.134E-0	.154E-0	.177E-0	
.216E-0	.274E-0	.355E-0	.443E-0	.538E-0	.629E-0	.722E-0	.804E-0	.852E-0	.874E-0	
.889E-0	.918E-0	.960E-0	.101E 1	.103E 1	.997E-0	.939E-0	.907E-0	.864E-0	.819E-0	
.760E-0	.698E-0	.635E-0	.590E-0	.552E-0	.515E-0	.473E-0	.440E-0	.415E-0	.398E-0	
.381E-0	.365E-0	.348E-0	.343E-0	.345E-0	.350E-0	.356E-0	.360E-0	.361E-0	.363E-0	
.367E-0	.377E-0	.388E-0	.401E-0	.418E-0	.450E-0	.500E-0	.568E-0	.650E-0	.742E-0	
.851E-0	.980E-0	.113E 1	.124E 1	.126E 1	.114E 1	.928E-0	.698E-0	.490E-0	.324E-0	
.201E-0	.123E-0	.883E-1	.664E-1	.613E-1	.598E-1	.568E-1	.507E-1	.436E-1	.377E-1	
.345E-1	.321E-1	.290E-1	.252E-1	.214E-1	.180E-1	.150E-1	.116E-1	.843E-1	.711E-2	
.560E-2										



## Output

LEAD

ELA= 1.549 DM1= 5.2 DM2= 0.310E+02 DM4= 0.146E+04

GIVEN TC =0.1000E-01 IN UNITS OF RMS OMEGA

TC =0.1067E-01 IN UNITS OF OMEGA

MUSTAR = 0.0

MATRIX DIM =	1	LAMBDA=0.1008E+01	MSTAR=	0.0
MATRIX DIM =	2	LAMBDA=0.6105E+00	MSTAR=	0.0
MATRIX DIM =	4	LAMBDA=0.4433E+00	MSTAR=	0.0
MATRIX DIM =	8	LAMBDA=0.3628E+00	MSTAR=	0.0
MATRIX DIM =	16	LAMBDA=0.3281E+00	MSTAR=	0.0
MATRIX DIM =	32	LAMBDA=0.3179E+00	MSTAR=	0.0
MATRIX DIM =	64	LAMBDA=0.3163E+00	MSTAR=	0.0

GIVEN TC =0.1000E-01 IN UNITS OF RMS OMEGA

TC =0.1067E-01 IN UNITS OF OMEGA

MUSTAR = 0.1000

MATRIX DIM =	1	LAMBDA=0.1166E+01	MSTAR=	0.0783
MATRIX DIM =	2	LAMBDA=0.7453E+00	MSTAR=	0.0828
MATRIX DIM =	4	LAMBDA=0.5738E+00	MSTAR=	0.0879
MATRIX DIM =	8	LAMBDA=0.4996E+00	MSTAR=	0.0936
MATRIX DIM =	16	LAMBDA=0.4804E+00	MSTAR=	0.1001
MATRIX DIM =	32	LAMBDA=0.4888E+00	MSTAR=	0.1075
MATRIX DIM =	64	LAMBDA=0.4985E+00	MSTAR=	0.1162

GIVEN TC =0.1000E+00 IN UNITS OF RMS OMEGA

TC =0.1067E+00 IN UNITS OF OMEGA

MUSTAR = 0.0

MATRIX DIM =	1	LAMBDA=0.1557E+01	MSTAR=	0.0
MATRIX DIM =	2	LAMBDA=0.1111E+01	MSTAR=	0.0
MATRIX DIM =	4	LAMBDA=0.1007E+01	MSTAR=	0.0
MATRIX DIM =	8	LAMBDA=0.9912E+00	MSTAR=	0.0
MATRIX DIM =	16	LAMBDA=0.9898E+00	MSTAR=	0.0
MATRIX DIM =	32	LAMBDA=0.9897E+00	MSTAR=	0.0
MATRIX DIM =	64	LAMBDA=0.9897E+00	MSTAR=	0.0

GIVEN TC =0.1000E+00 IN UNITS OF RMS OMEGA

TC =0.1067E+00 IN UNITS OF OMEGA

MUSTAR = 0.1000

MATRIX DIM =	1	LAMBDA=0.1855E+01	MSTAR=	0.0956
MATRIX DIM =	2	LAMBDA=0.1411E+01	MSTAR=	0.1023
MATRIX DIM =	4	LAMBDA=0.1356E+01	MSTAR=	0.1102
MATRIX DIM =	8	LAMBDA=0.1382E+01	MSTAR=	0.1193
MATRIX DIM =	16	LAMBDA=0.1396E+01	MSTAR=	0.1300
MATRIX DIM =	32	LAMBDA=0.1399E+01	MSTAR=	0.1429
MATRIX DIM =	64	LAMBDA=0.1400E+01	MSTAR=	0.1586

## V. LIST OF SUBROUTINES

## SOLVE

```

subroutine solve(tc,el,cc,nalf)
common om1,om2,om4
dimension a(64,64),b(64,64),y(64),el(7),x(64),z(64)
dimension nt(64),c(32,32),ay(128)
c solves for lambda given tc in units of om (rms omega)
c cc is mustar, om is rms freq in millivolts
c el contains seven successive approximations to lambda
c nalf is 1 if a new a**2*f is to be read
  1 format(/11h given tc =,e10.4,22h in units of rms omega )
  2 format(13h matrix dim =,i4,9h lambda=,e10.4,8h mstar=,f8.4)
  3 format(7x,4htc =,e10.4,18h in units of omega )
  4 format(9h mustar =,f7.4)
  if (nalf .ne. 1) go to 25
  dum=f(-1.)
25 continue
  td=tc*sqrt(om2)/om1
  print 1,tc
  print 3,td
  print 4,cc
  pi=3.14159
  p=2.*pi*tc
c use delta fn answer for first approx eigenvalue
  e=(1.+2.*cc)*(1.+p*p)
c construct first approx eivenvector
  y(1)=1.
  do 30 i=2,64
30 y(i)=0.
c construct the missing parts of the matrix
  do 200 n=1,7
  cm=cc
  np=nc+1
  nc=nc*2
  if (n .eq. 1) np=1
  if (n .eq. 1) nc=1
c calculate missing values of ay(n), the renormalization
  il=nc+1
  iu=2*nc
  if (n .eq. 1) il=1
  do 34 i=il,iu
  s=float(i)*p
34 ay(i)=f(s)

```

## SOLVE (continued)

```

    if (abs(cc) .lt. 1.e-04) go to 35
c renormalize mustar to the specific cutoff n
  xn=float(nc)
  cm=1./(1./cc-alog(p*xn))
35 continue
  do 100 i=1,nc
  do 100 j=1,i
  a(i,j)=-2.*cm
  if (i .ne. j) go to 40
  a(i,j)=a(i,j)-2.*float(i)+1.
  if (i .lt. np) go to 100
40 if (i .lt. np) go to 80
  b(i,j)=ay(i+j-1)
  if (i .ne. j) b(i,j)=b(i,j)+ay(i-j)
  if (i .ne. j) go to 80
  if (n .eq. 1) go to 100
  jm=j-1
  do 70 l=1,jm
70 b(i,j)=b(i,j)-2.*ay(l)
  go to 100
80 a(j,i)=a(i,j)
  b(j,i)=b(i,j)
100 continue
c store a in c because ainvit overwrites a
  if (n .eq. 7) go to 110
  do 105 i=1,nc
  do 105 j=1,nc
105 c(i,j)=a(i,j)
110 continue
  ifail=0
  eps=0.002
  call ainvit(a,b,e,64,nc,y,eps,5.0e-06,x,z,30,nt,ifail)
  print 2,nc,e,cm
c eigenvector x becomes next approx eigenvector
c restore a out of storage in c
  el(n)=e
  test=0.01*e
  do 120 i=1,nc
  y(i)=x(i)
  do 120 j=1,nc
120 a(i,j)=c(i,j)
200 continue
  return
  end

```

F(X)

```

function f(x)
c x is 2 pi n tc/om (rms omega)
  common om1,om2,om4
  dimension af(150),title(3)
  1 format(3a4,i4,f10.4)
  2 format(3a4)
  3 format(5f8.3)
  4 format(5h ela=,f6.3,5h om1=,f6.1,5h om2=,e10.3,5h om4=,e10.3)
  if (x .ge. 0.0) go to 100
c read data for a2f with dom=freq. incr. in milliv.
  open(unit=1,file='a2f.d',status='old')
  read(1,1) title,naf,dom
  write(6,2) title
  read(1,3) (af(i),i=1,naf)
  close(unit=1,status='keep')
c integrate to get ela, om1, etc.
  e=0.0
  o1=0.0
  o2=0.0
  o4=0.0
  om=0.0
  is=-1
  do 50 i=1,naf
  om=om+dom
  is=-is
  si=2.0
  if (is .gt. 0) si=4.0
  e=e+af(i)*si/om
  o1=o1+af(i)*si
  o2=o2+af(i)*si*om
50 o4=o4+af(i)*si*om*om*om
  ela=2.0*e*dom/3.0
  om1=2.0*o1*dom/(3.0*ela)
  om2=2.0*o2*dom/(3.0*ela)
  om4=2.0*o4*dom/(3.0*ela)
  write(6,4) ela,om1,om2,om4
  rmsom=sqrt(om2)
  rms=rmsom*11.605
  write(6,*)' rms frequency=',rmsom,' (meV) ',rms,' (K) '
  f=1.0
  return
100 if (x .gt. 5.0) go to 300
  om=0.
  is=-1
  s=0.
  do 200 i=2,naf
  is=-is
  si=2.0
  if (is .gt. 0) si=4.0
  om=om+dom
200 s=s+si*om*af(i)/(om*om+om2*x*x)
  f=2.0*s*dom/(3.0*ela)
  return
300 f=(1.0-om4/(om2*x)**2)/(x*x)
  return
end

```

## AINVIT

```

subroutine ainvit(a,b,e,nr,nc,y,eps,emach,x,z,nmx,nt,ifail)
dimension b(nr,nc),a(nr,nc),y(nc),x(nc),z(nc),nt(nc)
c symmetric version - overwrites the lower triangle of a only
n=nc
dun=e*e
if (ifail) 30,33,30
30 dx=0.
dy=0.
do 32 i=1,n
d1=-a(i,i)*y(i)
d2=-b(i,i)*y(i)
do 31 j=1,i
d1=d1+a(i,j)*y(j)
31 d2=d2+b(i,j)*y(j)
do 34 j=1,n
d1=d1+a(j,i)*y(j)
34 d2=d2+b(j,i)*y(j)
dx=dx+y(i)*d1
32 dy=dy+y(i)*d2
e=dx/dy
33 ifail=0
do 2 i=1,n
do 1 j=1,i
1 a(i,j)=a(i,j)+e*b(i,j)
2 z(i)=0.0
call cholde(a,nt,nr,nc,emach)
dy=0.0
iac=3
ncpt=0
noit=0
3 do 4 i=1,n
x(i)=b(i,i)*y(i)
do 35 j=1,n
35 x(i)=x(i)-b(j,i)*y(j)
do 4 j=1,i
4 x(i)=x(i)-b(i,j)*y(j)
call cholsu(a,nt,x,nr,nc)
xnorm=0.
icpt=ncpt
do 6 i=1,n
d=abs(x(i))
if (d-xnorm) 6,6,5
5 xnorm=d
ncpt=1
6 continue
dx=1.0/x(ncpt)
do 7 i=1,n
7 x(i)=x(i)*dx

```

## AINVIT (continued)

```
noit=noit+1
iac=iac+1
if (abs(dx-dy)-eps) 20,20,8
8 if (noit-nmx) 9,9,19
9 if (icpt-ncpt) 10,11,10
10 iac=1
go to 17
11 if (iac-3) 17,12,12
12 do 15 i=1,n
yr=(z(i)-y(i))**2
dum=z(i)-2.0*y(i)+x(i)
if (abs(dum)-emach) 13,13,14
13 yr=0.0
go to 15
14 yr=yr/dum
15 x(i)=z(i)-yr
do 16 i=1,n
16 y(i)=x(i)
yr=(dz-dy)**2
yr=yr/(dz-2.0*dy+dx)
dx=dz-yr
iac=1
17 do 18 i=1,n
z(i)=y(i)
18 y(i)=x(i)
dz=dy
dy=dx
go to 3
19 ifail=-nmx-1
20 eps=abs(dx-dy)
e=e+dx
ifail=ifail+noit
return
end
```

## CHOLDE

```

subroutine cholde(a,nt,nr,nc,emach)
dimension a(nr,nc),nt(nc)
if (nc .eq. 1) return
n=nc
do 10 ii=2,n
i=ii-1
yr=abs(a(i,i))
in=i
do 2 j=ii,n
if (yr-abs(a(j,j))) 1,2,2
1 yr=abs(a(j,j))
in=j
2 continue
nt(i)=in
if (in-1) 6,6,3
3 do 12 j=1,i
dum=a(i,j)
a(i,j)=a(in,j)
12 a(in,j)=dum
do 4 j=1,in
dum=a(j,i)
a(j,i)=a(in,j)
4 a(in,j)=dum
do 5 j=in,n
dum=a(j,i)
a(j,i)=a(j,in)
5 a(j,in)=dum
dum=a(i,i)
a(i,i)=a(in,in)
a(in,in)=dum
6 a(i,i)=sign(sqrt(yr),a(i,i))
if(abs(a(i,i))-emach) 7,7,8
7 a(i,i)=emach*1.0e-05
8 do 9 j=ii,n
a(j,i)=a(j,i)/a(i,i)
dum=a(j,i)*sign(1.0,a(i,i))
do 9 k=ii,j
9 a(j,k)=a(j,k)-dum*a(k,i)
10 continue
if (abs(a(n,n))-emach) 13,13,14
13 a(n,n)=emach*1.0e-05
return
14 a(n,n)=sign(sqrt(abs(a(n,n))),a(n,n))
return
end

```

## CHOLSU

```

subroutine cholsu(a,nt,x,nr,nc)
dimension a(nr,nc),nt(nc),x(nc)
if (nc .eq. 1) go to 10
n=nc
do 2 ii=2,n
i=ii-1
in=nt(i)
if (in-i) 1,2,1
1 dum=x(in)
x(in)=x(i)
x(i)=dum
2 continue
x(1)=x(1)/abs(a(1,1))
do 4 ii=2,n
i=ii-1
do 3 j=1,i
3 x(ii)=x(ii)-a(ii,j)*x(j)
4 x(ii)=x(ii)/abs(a(ii,ii))
x(n)=x(n)/a(n,n)
do 6 ij=2,n
ii=n-ij+2
i=ii-1
x(i)=sign(1.0,a(i,i))*x(i)
do 5 j=ii,n
5 x(i)=x(i)-a(j,i)*x(j)
6 x(i)=x(i)/abs(a(i,i))
do 8 ii=2,n
i=n-ii+1
in=nt(i)
if (in-i) 7,8,7
7 dum=x(in)
x(in)=x(i)
x(i)=dum
8 continue
return
10 x(1)=x(1)/a(1,1)
return
end

```



## VI DESCRIPTION OF MATRIX MANIPULATION PROGRAMS

## AINVIT

SUBROUTINE AINVIT(A,B,E,NR,NC,Y,EPS,EMACH,X,Z,NMX,NI,IFAIL)

DIMENSION B(NR,NC),A(NR,NC),Y(NC),X(NC),Z(NC),NI(NC)

PURPOSE To find a root of the eigenproblem  $(A+\lambda B)x = 0$  using inverse iteration. The root nearest a given number E is located or that nearest a given eigenvector by first forming the Rayleigh quotient. For the method see Wilkinson "The Algebraic Eigenvalue Problem")

input

A	matrix as in problem definition	(overwritten)
B	matrix as in problem definition	
E	approximate root (if appropriate)	(overwritten)
NR	First dimension of arrays A and B in calling routine	
NC	dimension of matrices of problem	
Y	initial 'approximate' eigenvector (1,1,1,1 ..) will often suffice	(overwritten)
EPS	accuracy required in eigenvalue	(overwritten)
EMACH	machine accuracy ( $5.0E-6$ in single precision)	
NMX	maximum number of iterations allowed (~30)	
IFAIL	= 0 if approximate eigenvalue given ≠ 0 if approximate eigenvector given	(overwritten)

Z, NI are used as working space

output

E	computed eigenvalue
EPS	estimated error in computed eigenvalue
X	computed eigenvector
IFAIL	= 0 accuracy not achieved after NMX iterations ≠ 0 the number of iterations used

notes

this routine uses GELIM and SUBS if A and B are symmetric then calls to these subroutines should be replaced by calls to CHOLDE and CHOLSU

## CHOLDE

SUBROUTINE CHOLDE(A,NT,NR,NC,EMACH)

DIMENSION A(NR,NC),NT(NC)

purpose to perform Cholski decomposition on a real symmetric matrix .  
 A is factorised into  $U^T D U$  where D is a diagonal matrix whose non-zero elements are  $\pm 1$  . These signs are stored with the diagonal elements of U  
 thus  $\det(A) = |\text{product of diagonal elts. of } U|^2 \times \text{sign of the product.}$   
 Only the lower triangle of A need be defined .

input

A matrix to be factorised ; lower triangle only (overwritten)  
 NR first dimension of array A in calling  
 NC dimension of matrix of problem  
 EMACH machine accuracy

output

A the matrix  $U^T$  stored in lower triangle  
 NT list of pivotal rpws

## CHOLSU

SUBROUTINE CHOLSU(A,NT,X,NR,NC)

DIMENSION A(NR,NC),NT(NC),X(NC)

purpose to solve the set of equations  $Ax = b$  , A real symmetric , after  
 A has been factorised by CHOLDE i.e. compute  $A^{-1}b$

input

A output from CHOLDE  
 NT output from CHOLDE  
 X right hand side of matrix equation ;  $b$  (overwritten)  
 NR first dimension of array A in calling routine  
 NC dimension of matrix A

output

X calculated solution =  $A^{-1}b$